**Bibliography for K12 OER Collaborative Proposal 4C3M**

1. Agodini, R., Harris, B., Seftor, N., Remillard, J., and Thomas, M. (2013). *After Two Years, Three Elementary Math Curricula Outperform a Fourth.* (NCEE 2013-4019). Washington, DC: National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education.

2. Ball, D. L., & Cohen, D. K. (1996). Reform by the book: what is—or might be—the role of curriculum materials in teacher learning and instructional reform? *Educational Researcher, 25*(9), 6-14.

3. Boaler, J & Staples, M. (2008). Creating Mathematical Futures through an Equitable Teaching Approach: The Case of Railside School. *Teachers’ College Record*. 110 (3), 608-645.

4. Boaler, J & Humphreys, C. (2005). Connecting Mathematical Ideas, Middle School Video Cases to Support Teaching and Learning, Heinemann, Portsmouth, NH.

5. Bruner, J. (1960). The process of education. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Uni. Press.

6. <http://www.corestandards.org>

7. Davis, E. A., & Krajcik, J. S. (2005). Designing educative curriculum materials to promote teacher learning. *Educational Researcher, 34*(3), 3-14.

8. Heaton, R.M. (2000). Teaching mathematics in the new standard: Relearning the dance. New York: Teachers College Press.

9. Hiebert, J., & Grouws, D. A. (2007). The effects of classroom mathematics teaching on students’ learning. In F. K. Lester (Ed.), Second handbook of research on mathematics teaching and learning (pp. 371–404). Greenwich: Information Age Publishing.

10. Hill, Heather C.; Charalambous, C. Y*.* (2012). Teacher knowledge, curriculum materials, and quality of instruction: Lessons learned and open issues. *Journal of Curriculum Studies, 44(4),* 559-576.

11. <http://illuminations.nctm.org>

12. <https://www.illustrativemathematics.org>

13. Kulm, G., & Capraro, R. M. (2008). Textbook use and student learning of number and algebra ideas in middle grades. In G. Kulm, (Ed.), Teacher knowledge and practice in middle grades mathematics (pp. 255-272). Rotterdam.

14. Schmidt, W. H., Houang, R., & Cogan, L. (2002). A coherent curriculum: The case of mathematics. *American Educator (*Summer): 1-17.

15. Schmidt, W. H., C. C. McKnight, and S. A. Raizen, 1997, A Splintered Vision: An Investigation of U.S. Science and Mathematics Education, Kluwer Academic Publishers.

16. Schneider, R. & Kraischik, J. (2002). Supporting science teacher learning: The role of educative materials. Journal of Science Teacher Education. 13(2), 221-245

17. Stein, M. K., Grover, B. W., & Henningsen, M. (1996). Building student capacity for mathematical thinking and reasoning: An analysis of mathematical tasks used in reform classrooms. *American Educational Research Journal, 33*(2), 455-488.

18. Stein, M. K., & Lane, S. (1996). Instructional tasks and the development of student capacity to think and reason: An analysis of the relationship between teaching and learning in a reform mathematics project. Educational Research and Evaluation, 2, 50-80.

19. Stein, M. K., Remillard, J. T. & Smith, M. S., (2007). How Curriculum Influences Student Learning. In F. K. Lester (Ed.).  *Second handbook of research on mathematics teaching and learning* (pp. 319-369).  Greenwich, CT: Information Age Publishing.

20. Stigler, J.W. & Hiebert, J. (2004). Improving mathematics teaching. *Educational Leadership, 61*(5), 12-17.

21. Z. Usiskin, A. Peressini, E. A.Marchisotto, D. Stanley, Mathematics for High School Teachers, An Advance Perspective, Pearson, 2003.

22. Wang, J., & Paine, L (2003). Learning to teach with mandated curriculum and public examination of teaching as contexts. Teaching and Teacher Education, 19(1), 75-94.

23. Wu, H-S. (2008). Whole Numbers, Fractions, and Rational Numbers, Department of Mathematics Notes, U C Berkeley.

24. Wu, H-S. (2009). Mathematics of the Secondary School Curriculum, I (Math 151), Department of Mathematics Notes, U C Berkeley.